Meeting #22

Welcome to the January 11, 2024 meeting of the Technical Modeling Workgroup. The meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m.

Members of the general public will remain muted throughout the meeting and will have the opportunity to comment during the public comment period. To make a comment, please leave your name and the organization you represent in the Q&A section by 10:45 a.m. We will call on you during the public comment period and ask that you keep your remarks to under three minutes.

Welcome & Agenda Overview

9:00 am Welcome & Agenda Overview

9:10 am Action: Approval of Minutes from December 14, 2023

Workgroup Meeting

9:15 am January 8, 2024 Commission Meeting Recap

9:30 am Accountability & Transparency

10:15 am Allocation Formula

11:00 am Review of Timeline

11:15 am Public Comment

11:30 am Next Steps & Adjournment

Action: Approval of minutes from December 14, 2023 Workgroup Meeting

January 8, 2024 Commission Meeting Recap

Topics Covered at Commission Meeting

- Process and Timeline
 - Three more meetings
 - Focus on key issues: ESS, Medical/Dental, Other Resources, Allocation Formula, Accountability
- The TWG will meet through January to support closing out of key issues.

Topics Covered at Commission Meeting

- Faculty Diversity
- ESS Subsidy Levels
- Other Resources
- Medical/Dental
 - Currently working on pulling out Schools of Medicine as separate institutions in the model

Accountability & Transparency

Allocation Formula

Allocation Formula

- Proposal:
 - Guardrail with remaining increases split 50/50 between the share of adequacy gap percentage and the share of adequacy gap total dollars
- Remaining Discussion Topics:
 - Size of the guardrail
 - Considerations for allocating cuts
 - How to tee up these decisions to the Commission

Proposal Output

Scenario: Guardrail Factor = 67%; State Approp Inc = 9%; Inflation = 3%

					2012				
Institution	Guardrail %	Guardrail	% of Gap	Share of	% Gap	Adequacy	Share of \$	\$ Gap	Total
	Increase	Allocation	Unfunded	% Gap	Allocation	Gap \$	Gap	Allocation	Allocation
Chicago State University	2.00%	\$789,865	34%	7%	\$2,986,721	\$26,632,274	2%	\$745,866	\$4,522,452
Eastern Illinois University	2.00%	\$859,583	41%	9%	\$3,597,274	\$68,390,367	5%	\$1,915,348	\$6,372,205
Governors State University	2.00%	\$479,335	57%	13%	\$5,005,495	\$65,661,615	5%	\$1,838,926	\$7,323,756
Illinois State University	2.00%	\$1,439,333	45%	10%	\$4,022,781	\$217,456,111	15%	\$6,090,100	\$11,552,214
Northeastern Illinois University	2.00%	\$735,050	62%	14%	\$5,470,916	\$106,458,735	7%	\$2,981,495	\$9,187,461
Northern Illinois University	2.00%	\$1,815,157	46%	10%	\$4,068,312	\$187,498,717	13%	\$5,251,110	\$11,134,579
Southern Illinois University Carbondale	2.00%	\$2,713,200	10%	2%	\$853,936	\$28,699,898	2%	\$803,772	\$4,370,908
Southern Illinois University Edwardsville	2.00%	\$1,270,877	39%	9%	\$3,478,253	\$129,761,000	9%	\$3,634,101	\$8,383,231
University of Illinois at Chicago	2.00%	\$5,056,807	38%	8%	\$3,397,420	\$357,181,937	25%	\$10,003,277	\$18,457,504
University of Illinois at Springfield	2.00%	\$498,693	30%	7%	\$2,693,928	\$28,512,234	2%	\$798,517	\$3,991,138
University of Illinois at Urbana / Champaign	2.00%	\$6,161,978	11%	2%	\$946,963	\$133,537,317	9%	\$3,739,861	\$10,848,801
Western Illinois University	2.00%	\$1,025,019	39%	9%	\$3,456,573	\$77,704,461	5%	\$2,176,200	\$6,657,791
Illinois		\$22,844,896			\$39,978,573			\$39,978,573	\$102,802,041

Allocation Formula - Target Increase

Minimum State Increase to Fully Fund Adequacy by Year 15					
Inflation	% Increase	\$ Increase			
2%	7.7%	\$87.95 million			
3%	9.0%	\$102.80 million			
4%	10.1%	\$115.37 million			
5%	10.9%	\$124.50 million			
6%	11.9%	\$135.93 million			

Note: All calculations assume annual increases in ESS and Other Resources equal to inflation.
This is not the same as an increase in current tuition levels, as ESS is lower than current tuition.

\$100m per year -

Fully funds all institutions in 15 years, assuming ~3% inflation

\$135m per year -

Fully funds all institutions within 10 years.

\$60m per year -

In Year 15, the state gap is 22% (down from 32%); institutions' gaps range from 11%-31%.

– ILLINOIS COMMISSION ON

Context for Discussion

- There is a misperception that a 3% increase increase in state appropriation will cover the increase in costs at 3% inflation.
- However, the appropriation represents about ½ of all revenue from state funding and UIF (varies across institutions).
- If state appropriations are the only source of increased funding a university receives in a year (i.e., tuition is kept flat), the appropriation increase must be significantly greater than inflation.

Total Cost Increases at 3% Inflation

		Inflation	
UNIVERSITY	Total State Funds	% Inflation	\$ Inflation
CHICAGO STATE	60,153	3.0%	1,805
EASTERN	79,737	3.0%	2,392
GOVERNORS STATE	56,506	3.0%	1,695
ILLINOIS STATE	277,873	3.0%	8,336
NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS	87,215	3.0%	2,616
NORTHERN ILLINOIS	248,162	3.0%	7,445
SOUTHERN ILLINOIS CARBONDALE	222,354	3.0%	6,671
SOUTHERN ILLINOIS EDWARDSVILLE	171,515	3.0%	5,145
UI CHICAGO	685,696	3.0%	20,571
UI SPRINGFIELD	62,935	3.0%	1,888
UI URBANA/CHAMPAIGN	1,148,399	3.0%	34,452
WESTERN ILLINOIS	109,257	3.0%	3,278
Totals	3,209,804		96,294

Total State Funds equals each university's state appropriation plus its UIF.

If inflation is 3.0% and tuition and enrollment are flat, it would take a \$96.3 million increase in State Appropriations to cover all costs paid for by those funds.

Appropriation Increase vs Inflationary Costs

UNIVERSITY	Break Even	Current Model	Variance
CHICAGO STATE	4.6%	6.2%	1.6%
EASTERN	5.6%	8.5%	2.9%
GOVERNORS STATE	7.1%	13.7%	6.6%
ILLINOIS STATE	11.6%	9.6%	-2.0%
NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS	7.1%	11.9%	4.8%
NORTHERN ILLINOIS	8.2%	7.5%	-0.7%
SOUTHERN ILLINOIS CARBONDALE	4.9%	3.6%	-1.3%
SOUTHERN ILLINOIS EDWARDSVILLE	8.1%	8.9%	0.8%
UI CHICAGO	8.1%	5.2%	-2.9%
UI SPRINGFIELD	7.6%	8.8%	1.2%
UI URBANA/CHAMPAIGN	11.2%	3.6%	-7.6%
WESTERN ILLINOIS	6.4%	7.7%	1.3%
Totals	8.4%	6.0%	-2.4%

The Break Even is the percent increase in state appropriations required to fund inflationary costs if tuition and enrollment are kept flat.

A 6% state appropriation increase would leave a number of institutions with gaps.

Allocation Formula - Guardrail

A guardrail would allocate a portion of the state appropriation increase in an across-the-board manner, with the remaining increase going through an adequacy gap-based allocation.

The percent allocated this way could be calculated as:

- The lesser of <u>inflation</u> **or** <u>half or the state appropriation increase</u>.
- Apply a guardrail factor to dial back the portion of funds allocated this way.

```
Example: Inflation = 3%
```

State

appropriations increase = 9%

Guardrail = 3% (3% < ½ of 9%) Guardrail factor = 67%

Amount allocated across-the-board: 2% (67% * 3%)

Amount allocated by adequacy formula: 7% (9%-2%)

EQUITABLE PUBLIC UNIVERSITY FUNDING

Impact on Share of Funds Allocated by Guardrail

In all situations where the State Appropriation increase is twice the size of inflation **or less**, the guardrail will allocate between 25%-50% of the funds.

Inflation = 3%; State Approp Inc = 4%					
Guardrail Factor	Percent of Formula Allocated by Guardrail	Guardrail Allocation			
50%	25%	1.0%			
66%	33%	1.3%			
75%	38%	1.5%			
100%	50%	2.0%			

In situations where the State Appropriation increase is **more than** twice the size of inflation, the guardrail will always allocate less than 50% of the funds.

Inflation = 3%; State Approp Inc = 9%					
Guardrail Factor	Percent of Formula Allocated by Guardrail	Guardrail Allocation			
50%	17%	1.5%			
66%	22%	2.0%			
75%	25%	2.25%			
100%	33%	3.0%			

Impact on Adequacy Gaps

9% State Increase

4% State Increase

Institution	Adequacy	Factor:	Factor:	Factor:
mstitution	Gap	0%	50%	100%
Chicago State University	34%	-4.4%	-4.2%	-3.9%
Eastern Illinois University	41%	-3.3%	-3.0%	-2.7%
Governors State University	57%	-6.8%	-5.8%	-4.9%
Illinois State University	45%	-2.2%	-2.0%	-1.8%
Northeastern Illinois University	62%	-5.5%	-4.8%	-4.1%
Northern Illinois University	46%	-2.2%	-2.1%	-1.9%
SIU - Carbondale	10%	0.6%	0.1%	-0.5%
SIU - Edwardsville	39%	-2.1%	-2.0%	-1.8%
UI - Chicago	38%	-1.0%	-1.1%	-1.2%
UI - Springfield	30%	-3.9%	-3.5%	-3.1%
UI - Urbana / Champaign	11%	0.3%	0.0%	-0.3%
Western Illinois University	39%	-2.8%	-2.6%	-2.4%
Illinois	32%	-1.5%	-1.5%	-1.5%

.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
Factor:	Factor:	Factor:				
0%	50%	100%				
-1.2%	-1.0%	-0.8%				
-1.1%	-0.9%	-0.7%				
-2.7%	-2.1%	-1.4%				
-0.7%	-0.6%	-0.4%				
-2.1%	-1.6%	-1.2%				
-0.6%	-0.5%	-0.4%				
1.0%	0.7%	0.3%				
-0.6%	-0.5%	-0.4%				
0.0%	-0.1%	-0.1%				
-1.3%	-1.0%	-0.8%				
0.5%	0.3%	0.1%				
-0.8%	-0.7%	-0.5%				
-0.2%	-0.2%	-0.2%				

Impact on the Minimum Increase

Minimum State Increase for All Schools to Receive an Increase Above Inflation (\$m)							
Inflation Factor: 50% Factor: 67% Factor: 100%							
2%	\$76.5	\$58.8	\$38.8				
	(6.7%)	(5.2%)	(3.4%)				
4%	\$153.4	\$117.7	\$77.7				
	(13.5%)	(10.3%)	(6.8%)				
6%	\$230.7	\$176.5	\$116.5				
	(20.2%)	(15.5%)	(10.2%)				

The minimum state investment to provide all institutions with at least a percent increase in state approps equal to inflation varies depending on the size of the guardrail.

Impact on Institution's State Funding

Percent Increase in State Approps (3% inflation)

9% State Increase

4% State Increase

Institution	Factor: 50%	Factor 67%	Factor: 100%	Factor: 50%	Factor 67%	Factor: 100%
Chicago State University	11.6%	11.5%	11.1%	5.1%	4.9%	4.7%
Eastern Illinois University	15.2%	14.8%	14.0%	6.5%	6.2%	5.7%
Governors State University	32.1%	30.6%	27.5%	13.2%	12.2%	10.2%
Illinois State University	16.6%	16.1%	15.0%	7.0%	6.7%	6.0%
Northeastern Illinois University	26.1%	25.0%	22.7%	10.9%	10.1%	8.6%
Northern Illinois University	12.5%	12.3%	11.8%	5.4%	5.2%	4.9%
Southern Illinois University Carbondale	2.8%	3.2%	4.0%	1.5%	1.8%	2.3%
Southern Illinois University Edwardsville	13.5%	13.2%	12.6%	5.8%	5.6%	5.2%
University of Illinois at Chicago	7.2%	7.3%	7.5%	3.3%	3.4%	3.5%
University of Illinois at Springfield	16.5%	16.0%	15.0%	7.0%	6.7%	6.0%
University of Illinois at Urbana / Champa	3.1%	3.5%	4.3%	1.7%	1.9%	2.4%
Western Illinois University	13.3%	13.0%	12.4%	5.7%	5.5%	5.1%
Illinois	9.0%	9.0%	9.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%

- ILLINOIS COMMISSION ON

Allocation Formula - State Funding Cuts

Options:

- 1) Same formula as for increases (guardrail + 50/50 adequacy gap %/\$)
- 2) Across-the-board
- 3) Ratio of the statewide adequacy gap to the institutional adequacy gap to, plus a guardrail

State Funding Cuts - Options 1 & 2

- 1) Same formula as for increases (guardrail + 50/50 adequacy gap %/\$)
- This does not produce the same sort of distribution as when used to allocate increases.
- Some institutions that are closest to fully funded have some of the smallest percent cuts in state appropriations.
- 2) Across-the-board
- An across-the-board ensures that no institution faces significant cuts
- Disproportionate impact on institutions that receive a greater share of their funds from the state than tuition.

State Funding Cuts - Option 3

- 3) Ratio of statewide gap to the institutional gap, plus guardrail
- Starts with the same guardrail
- Calculates the ratio and applies it to the state cut
 - e.g., IL state gap = 32%, ISU gap = 45%, State cut = 4%
 ISU ratio = 69% (32%/45%), ISU ratio-based cut = 2.8% (4%*69%)
- Calculates each institutions' cut based on its ratio-based cut
- This generates a total cut larger than the overall cut, so all school's cuts are scaled proportionally to fit within the total
- Guardrail factor could be increased to minimize chance of significant cuts at any institution.

State Funding Cuts - Option 3

Institution	Guardrail Cut %	Guardrail Cut \$	Ratio-Based Cut %	Ratio-Based Cut \$	Scaled Ratio- Based Cut \$	Total % Change in State Funds
Chicago State University	-2.0%	-\$789,865	-3.7%	-\$1,478,189	-\$445,628	-3.1%
Eastern Illinois University	-2.0%	-\$859,583	-3.1%	-\$1,335,631	-\$402,651	-2.9%
Governors State University	-2.0%	-\$479,335	-2.2%	-\$535,259	-\$161,364	-2.7%
Illinois State University	-2.0%	-\$1,439,333	-2.8%	-\$1,999,893	-\$602,906	-2.8%
Northeastern Illinois Universit	-2.0%	-\$735,050	-2.0%	-\$750,981	-\$226,397	-2.6%
Northern Illinois University	-2.0%	-\$1,815,157	-2.7%	-\$2,493,859	-\$751,821	-2.8%
SIU - Carbondale	-2.0%	-\$2,713,200	-13.1%	-\$17,759,408	-\$5,353,911	-5.9%
SIU - Edwardsville	-2.0%	-\$1,270,877	-3.2%	-\$2,042,275	-\$615,683	-3.0%
UI - Chicago	-2.0%	-\$5,056,808	-3.3%	-\$8,319,536	-\$2,508,082	-3.0%
UI - Springfield	-2.0%	-\$498,693	-4.1%	-\$1,034,711	-\$311,933	-3.3%
UI - Urbana / Champaign	-2.0%	-\$6,161,979	-11.8%	-\$36,371,334	-\$10,964,830	-5.6%
Western Illinois University	-2.0%	-\$1,025,019	-3.2%	-\$1,657,517	-\$499,690	-3.0%
Illinois		\$ (22,844,898)		\$ (75,778,592)	\$ (22,844,898)	-4.0%

State Funding Cuts - Impact on State Funding

	Option 1		Option 2		Option 3	
Institution	% Change in	% Change in	% Change in	% Change in	% Change in	% Change in
Institution	State Approps	State + UIF	State Approps	State + UIF	State Approps	State + UIF
Chicago State University	-5.1%	-3.3%	-3.1%	-2.0%	-4.0%	-2.6%
Eastern Illinois University	-5.4%	-2.6%	-2.9%	-1.4%	-4.0%	-2.0%
Governors State University	-7.0%	-2.8%	-2.7%	-1.1%	-4.0%	-1.6%
Illinois State University	-5.6%	-1.4%	-2.8%	-0.7%	-4.0%	-1.0%
Northeastern Illinois University	-5.9%	-2.5%	-2.6%	-1.1%	-4.0%	-1.7%
Northern Illinois University	-4.6%	-1.8%	-2.8%	-1.1%	-4.0%	-1.6%
SIU - Carbondale	-3.2%	-1.9%	-5.9%	-3.6%	-4.0%	-2.4%
SIU - Edwardsville	-5.1%	-1.9%	-3.0%	-1.1%	-4.0%	-1.5%
UI - Chicago	-3.5%	-1.2%	-3.0%	-1.0%	-4.0%	-1.3%
UI - Springfield	-7.2%	-3.1%	-3.3%	-1.4%	-4.0%	-1.7%
UI - Urbana / Champaign	-2.8%	-0.7%	-5.6%	-1.4%	-4.0%	-1.0%
Western Illinois University	-5.0%	-2.4%	-3.0%	-1.4%	-4.0%	-1.9%
Illinois	-4.0%	-1.3%	-4.0%	-1.3%	-4.0%	-1.3%

State Funding Cuts - Impact on Adequacy Gap

Change in Adequacy Gap

Institution	Adequacy Gap - Base Year	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Chicago State University	34%	3.5%	2.5%	2.9%
Eastern Illinois University	41%	1.8%	1.2%	1.5%
Governors State University	57%	1.8%	0.9%	1.2%
Illinois State University	45%	1.1%	0.7%	0.9%
Northeastern Illinois University	62%	1.7%	1.0%	1.3%
Northern Illinois University	46%	1.4%	1.1%	1.3%
SIU - Carbondale	10%	2.3%	3.6%	2.7%
SIU - Edwardsville	39%	1.3%	0.9%	1.1%
UI - Chicago	38%	1.5%	1.3%	1.6%
UI - Springfield	30%	2.4%	1.4%	1.6%
UI - Urbana / Champaign	11%	1.2%	1.8%	1.5%
Western Illinois University	39%	1.8%	1.3%	1.5%
Illinois	32%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%

- ILLINOIS COMMISSION ON

Review of Timeline

Remaining Meetings

January 23	Commission meeting (11:00am-2:00pm CT)	
January 25	Technical Modeling Workgroup meeting	
February TBD	Commission meeting (presentation of draft report)	
February TBD	Commission meeting (review of report)	

There are no current plans for the TWG to meet in February, but it could convene if the Commission requires its assistance.

Public Comment

Instructions for Members of the Public:

Please wait for your name to be called. Public comments will be limited to three (3) minutes per person.

Adjournment

Next Workgroup Meeting: January 25, 2024